

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,038	09/12/2003	John Strassner	CNTW-021/01US	3174
22903 7590 01/29/2008 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP ATTN: PATENT GROUP			EXAMINER	
			WON, MICHAEL YOUNG	
	Suite 1100 777 - 6th Street, NW			PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO			2155	
		·		·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		,	01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/662,038	STRASSNER, JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Y. Won	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN:  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio:  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of THIS COMMUNION of THIS COMMUNION.  In this contract of the contrac	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	12 September 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.	÷				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		maliantan Na				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But</li> </ol>	•	received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.				
	•					
	·					
Address of the Control of the Contro						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	··4) T Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(:	s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/03, 11/21/03, 3/5/04, 4/6/05, &	· <del>/</del>	nformal Patent Application —·				

10/662,038 Art Unit: 2155

# **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is in response to the amendment filed September 12, 2003.
- 2. Claims 1-24 have been examined and are pending with this action.

# Claim Objections

3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 2 depends on itself. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wanderer et al. (US 5,491,796).

#### **INDEPENDENT:**

As per claim 1, Wanderer teaches a system for managing a network comprising:
a processor (inherency) configured to manage at least one network element
associated with the network (see col.2, lines 32-36: "a network management system in
which various elements... are remotely controlled from a single point of maintenance");

10/662,038 Art Unit: 2155

a memory device (inherency) coupled to the processor and configured to store an application program (see col.55: "one skilled in the art will readily appreciate that other applications may be substituted for those set forth herein"); and

one or more repositories configured to communicate with the network (see Fig.3, #44: DATABASE), where at least one repository is configured to maintain an object-oriented information model, the information model including at least one managed entity data structure for describing the network element as a physical entity represented by one or more physical objects (see col.24, lines 44-45: "refer to MIB variables that are retrieved from the database"),

wherein the at least one managed entity data structure is used to map different characteristics of different network elements into one or more vendor-independent data models (see col.3, lines 53-61: "raw agent data may be processed to derive desired information in a desired format. A version identifier is stored in the DSF..."; and col.8, lines 1-2: "configuration file provides mapping from Agent identifier to DSF").

As per claim 11, Wanderer teaches a method for managing a network comprising:

forming a first representation of a network element as a physical entity in an information model, the first representation having a form independent of an implementation defined by a vendor (see col.3, lines 42-52: "produces a view of the agent for remote management " and "device specification files define the graphical view of the agent as multiple objects"); and

mapping a portion of the first representation from the information model to a second representation in a vendor-independent data model residing in a first repository (see col.24, lines 44-45: "refer to MIB variables that are retrieved from the database"), the second representation having a form suitable for use with the first repository (see col.3, lines 53-61: "raw agent data may be processed to derive desired information in a desired format. A version identifier is stored in the DSF..."; and col.8, lines 1-2: "configuration file provides mapping from Agent identifier to DSF").

As per **claim 20**, Wanderer teaches a method for obtaining information from different devices in a network comprising:

receiving data representing the information from each of the different devices, where the data is in a specific form relating to each of the different devices (see col.3, lines 53-54: "agent may be polled for certain MIB attributes which may be displayed"); and

assigning the data from each of the different devices to one or more entities as defined by an information model (see col.3, lines 53-61: "raw agent data may be processed to derive desired information in a desired format. A version identifier is stored in the DSF...").

### **DEPENDENT**:

As per **claim 2**, which depends on claim 2, Wanderer further teaches wherein the at least one managed entity data structure further describes the network element a logical entity represented by one or more logical objects (see col.3, lines 53-54).

10/662,038 Art Unit: 2155

As per **claim 3**, which depends on claim 1, Wanderer further teaches wherein the at least one managed entity data structure further describes a logical characteristic for the network element as one or more logical characteristic classes (see col.22, lines 10-17).

As per **claim 4**, which depends on claim 1, Wanderer further teaches wherein the at least one managed entity data structure further describes a composition of the network element as one or more composition classes (see col.17, lines 3-9).

As per **claim 5**, which depends on claim 1, Wanderer further teaches wherein the at least one managed entity data structure further describes equivalent physical capabilities with at least one other different network element as one or more equivalent physical capabilities mappings (see col.6, line 60-col.7, line 22 and col.7, line 64-col.8, line 7).

As per **claim 6**, which depends on claim 1, Wanderer further teaches wherein the at least one managed entity data structure further describes equivalent logical capabilities with an implementation of at least one other different network element as one or more equivalent logical capabilities mappings (see col.6, line 60-col.7, line 22 and col.7, line 64-col.8, line 7).

As per **claim 7**, which depends on claim 1, Wanderer further teaches wherein the at least one managed entity data structure further describes a link between a logical capability and hardware for performing the logical capability as one or more hardware linkage mappings (see col.6, line 60-col.7, line 22 and col.7, line 64-col.8, line 7).

As per **claim 8**, which depends on claim 1, Wanderer further teaches wherein the at least one managed entity data structure further describes at least one link between different logical features and vendor- specific commands as one or more vendor-specific mappings (see col.3, lines 36-41).

As per **claim 9**, which depends on claim 1, Wanderer further teaches wherein the application program is configured to solicit information from at least two different network elements (see col.3, lines 26-30).

As per **claim 10**, which depends on claim 1, Wanderer further teaches wherein one of the at least two different network elements is associated with a command line interface programming model and another of the at least two different network elements is associated with a simple network management protocol programming model 9see col.7, lines 35-45).

As per **claim 12**, which depends on claim 11, Wanderer further teaches wherein the first representation, further represents the network element as a logical entity (see col.3, lines 42-52).

As per claim 13, which depends on claim 11, Wanderer further teaches wherein forming the first representation in the information model further comprises: abstracting a characteristic from one or more different network elements (see col.3, lines 42-52); and mapping the abstracted characteristic to the information model (see col.8, lines 1-7).

As per **claim 14**, which depends on claim 13, Wanderer further teaches wherein the characteristic relates to a programming model of the one or more different network elements (see col.3, lines 26-30).

10/662,038 Art Unit: 2155

As per **claim 15**, which depends on claim 11, Wanderer teaches further comprising mapping the second representation into a third representation in a vendor-dependent data model, wherein the third representation is optimized for implementing the network element (see col.3, lines 36-49).

As per **claim 16**, which depends on claim 15, Wanderer further teaches wherein the third representation is in a form for implementing the network element as a specific device as defined by the vendor (see col.3, lines 36-41).

As per **claim 17**, which depends on claim 11, Wanderer further teaches wherein the first repository is a relational database (see Fig.3).

As per claim 18, which depends on claim 11, Wanderer teaches further comprising mapping another portion of the first representation from the information model to another vendor-independent data model residing in a second repository (see col.7, lines 21-22).

As per claim 19, which depends on claim 18, Wanderer further teaches wherein the second repository is a directory (see col.24, lines 31-35).

As per claim 21, which depends on claim 20, Wanderer further teaches wherein assigning the data further comprises: preserving a semantic of the received data (see col.3, line 53); comparing received data against one or more managed entities (see col.3, lines 42-44); and transforming the data into a common representation (see col.3, lines 56-59).

10/662,038 Art Unit: 2155

As per **claim 22**, which depends on claim 21, Wanderer teaches further comprising using the common representation of the data to monitor the performance of the network (see abstract).

As per **claim 23**, which depends on claim 21, Wanderer further teaches wherein transforming the data into a common representation is performed by a mediation layer (see col.7, lines 21-22).

As per **claim 24**, which depends on claim 20, Wanderer teaches further comprising grouping the data from each of the different devices using an adaptation layer before assigning to one or more entities (see col.36, lines 5-7).

## **Conclusion**

- 5. For the reason above claim 1-24 have been rejected and remain pending.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Y. Won whose telephone number is 571-272-3993. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 7AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/662,038 Art Unit: 2155

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Won/

**Primary Examiner** 

January 15, 2008